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Main points:
- Increased consumption of carbonated soft drinks has harmful effects on bone metabolism.
- Tooth movement is greatly affected by the increased carbonated soft drinks consumption.
- Shear bond strength, enamel surface, color stability, corrosion, and surface changes of different materials are greatly affected by the carbonated soft drinks.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to review the effects of various types of carbonated soft drinks on the behavior of different orthodontic materials as well as on the enamel surface and tooth movement. Articles and books from 1990 to 2020 explaining the effects of carbonated soft drinks on general health and orthodontic materials and tooth movement were electronically searched. The major effects of carbonated soft drinks are explained and discussed in this review. Patients with orthodontic problems must be warned about the side effects of carbonated soft drinks on general and dental health and orthodontic appliances in particular.
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INTRODUCTION

Carbonated soft drinks consumption has become a greatly perceptible and contentious public health and policy matter. They are considered as a chief contributor to obesity and associated with well-being tribulations by many, mostly among children.1

Numerous fitness problems are allied with ordinary utilization of carbonated soft drinks, but their effects on the health are unclear although epidemiological studies point toward their relationship with obesity, kidney disease, liver disease, and dental and bone problems.2

Carbonated soft drinks predominantly contain water, phosphoric acid, citric acid, caffeine, sugar (for example, sucrose) and other chemicals in the form of acid regulators, carbon dioxide, preservatives, colorings, and flavors.3 Carbonated beverages are prepared by mixing flavored syrup with carbonated water, both of which are chilled. There are different types of carbonated beverages, including colas, energy and sports drinks, functional beverages, and low- and mid-calorie beverages.4

Different studies have shown that carbonated soft drinks can affect the rate of tooth movement and properties of various orthodontic materials; therefore, a comprehensive review of these studies is required. This study aimed to review the effects of diverse types of carbonated soft drinks on the behavior of different orthodontic materials as well as on the enamel surface and tooth movement.
Effects of Carbonated Soft Drinks

Effects on Health
In their systematic review and meta-analysis, Vartanian et al. reviewed 88 articles and reported that in many studies, a positive association was reported between increased consumption of carbonated soft drinks and energy intake, increased body weight and body-mass index, increased carbohydrate intake, and many negative health problems, such as type II diabetes, hypocalcemia, tendency of bone fracture, reduced bone mineral density, increased blood pressure, and various cardiovascular diseases, kidney and liver diseases, tooth erosion, and increased vulnerability to dental caries.

Caffeine, as an additive in some drinks, especially cola, may also lead to excretion of calcium in urine, a condition known as calciuria. Wink et al. showed that growing rats that received caffeine had significant histological differences, such as fewer osteocytes per femoral cross-section area, impaired structural remodeling of osteoblasts, and osteocytes with disrupted swollen mitochondria, compared with the control group.

The acid loads in some carbonated soft drinks may influence the bone remodeling process and subsequent orthodontic tooth movements.

Effects on the Tooth Movement
The effects of some carbonated soft drinks on the rate of tooth movement in rats were evaluated by Aghili et al. They gave the rats Fanta® and Coca-Cola® for 2 weeks before orthodontic appliance placement. A force of about 60 gm was exerted through a 5-mm NiTi coil spring ligated between the right incisor and first molar for 2 weeks. The amount of orthodontic tooth movement between the maxillary first and second molars was then measured using an interproximal filler gauge. Their findings indicated that the rate of tooth movement decreased in the experimental groups than in the control group, being more with Fanta® than Coca-Cola®.

The tested drinks contain acidic components (such as carbon dioxide and phosphoric acid in Coca-Cola® and citric acid in Fanta®) that may alter bone metabolism toward this condition. This may influence the bone remodeling process and subsequent orthodontic tooth movements.

The acid loads may also affect the bone content and metabolism. Lee et al. found that acidemia will increase the bone degeneration and calcium release and decrease the activity of 1α-hydroxylase and production of 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D. However, Amato et al. found that high consumption of Coca-Cola® causes acidosis in immature rats.

The effects of some carbonated soft drinks can affect tooth movement. Shirazi et al. studied the effect of different doses of caffeine on orthodontic tooth movement in rats and found that with increasing caffeine dosage, the orthodontic tooth movement and bone resorption were significantly decreased. However, the ultimate effects of caffeine on the bone and calcium economy in human beings depend on other factors, mainly calcium intake from the diet, and the net effects are controversial.

Navarro et al. studied the influence of Coca-Cola® and Schweppes Limón® on bond strength, adhesive remnants, and microleakage in stainless-steel brackets of maxillary incisors bonded to bovine teeth. They found that these drinks caused enamel erosion, loss of adhesive material, and microleakage but had no significant effect on the shear bond strength of the brackets or adhesive remnants.

Khoda et al. evaluated the effects of a carbonated yogurt drink with a lactic acid base, 7-Up® and Pepsi-Cola®, on the shear bond strength of stainless-steel brackets. They concluded that these soft drinks did not decrease the shear bond strength significantly compared with artificial saliva, similar to the study by Navarro et al.

Hammad and Enan evaluated the effect of 2 brands of acidic soft drinks (Coca-Cola® and Sprite®) on the shear bond strength of metal brackets with and without resin infiltration treatment and assessed the enamel surface after debonding using SEM. They found that groups without resin infiltration demonstrated lower resistance to the shear forces. According to the findings of SEM, both groups after resin application showed a significant improvement compared with the results without resin use, as the enamel appeared smoother and less erosive.

The acid loads in some carbonated soft drinks may influence the bone remodeling process and subsequent orthodontic tooth movements.

The tested drinks contain acidic components (such as carbon dioxide and phosphoric acid in Coca-Cola® and citric acid in Fanta®) that may alter bone metabolism toward this condition. This may influence the bone remodeling process and subsequent orthodontic tooth movements.

Caffeine, as an additive in some drinks, especially cola, may also lead to excretion of calcium in urine, a condition known as calciuria.
Nahidh studied the effect of One Tiger® (a carbonated energy drink) on the shear bond strength and site of bond failure of stainless-steel bracket to human teeth. He found that One Tiger® reduced the shear bond strength significantly below the acceptable limit.

Pasha et al. assessed the effect of Coca-Cola® and orange Miranda® on the shear bond strength and site of bond failure in stainless-steel brackets bonded to intact human teeth; an SEM evaluation of the intact and sealed enamel was also performed. The results indicated that the shear bond strength was decreased significantly by both beverages, and this effect was stronger in orange Miranda® with greater adhesive failure at the site of bond failure. SEM results indicated a greater presence of defective areas because of erosion caused by acidic soft drinks on intact and sealed enamel surfaces in Coca-Cola® than in orange Miranda®.

In general, carbonated soft drinks can affect shear bond strength in 2 ways: by deteriorating the structure of the adhesive materials and by causing erosive lesions on the enamel surface around the brackets, with the main effect of the beverage compositions and total acid content, rather than beverage pH, determining the actual aggression toward the enamel. Regarding the beverage matrix, there are additional composite interactions between the soluble and solid contents of a beverage, such as the acid/hydroxyapatite reaction, which yet again influences the impending erosion.

The type of acid has also an effect on the severity of erosion. SEM comparison between Coca-Cola® and Sprite® groups indicated more extensive and noticeable enamel defects in the Coca-Cola® group because of the enamel-erosive effect of the phosphoric acid present in Coca-Cola®.

The erosive potential of a citric-acid-based orange juice drink and a phosphoric-acid-based diet cola drink was studied by Rugg-Gunn et al. They concluded that erosive potential of the phosphoric-acid-based diet cola was more than that of the citric-acid-based orange juice drink. Pasha et al. confirmed the previous findings but reported lower shear bond strength with citric-acid-based drinks (orange Miranda®).

Waterhouse et al. confirmed that low doses of citrate can increase the pH and hence decrease dental plaque acidogenicity and suggested that it be added to non-alcoholic soft drinks to decrease their carcinogenicity.

The One Tiger® energy drink contains citric acid, benzoic acid, taurine, caffeine, and carbonated water that cause enamel demineralization around the brackets. This could be clarified by the high concentration of refined carbohydrates that encourage greater degrees of acid production. Moreover, citric acid and citrate can bind to calcium in the tooth, maintaining a low pH for a long time.

Studies have found that high acidity may act as a plasticizer that speeds up the water sorption rate by reducing the polymer interchain interactions. Degradation of the composite that leads to decrease in its mechanical properties has been proved to be caused by acidic pH solutions, which in turn may offer an adequate concentration of protonated protons to induce hydrolysis of the ester part found in the resin matrix.

Effects on Chemical Properties, Corrosion Resistance, and Surface Topography

Shahabi et al. investigated the effect of Coca-Cola® on the corrosion of stainless-steel brackets in vitro. The brackets were weighted and immersed in Coca-Cola® at 37°C and in artificial saliva (control) for 6 weeks and re-weighted. The authors found that powerful corrosion took place after exposure to Coca-Cola® starting from the 1st week and continued at a fast and almost constant rate. This can be attributed to the presence of soluble carbonic acid in Coca-Cola®, an acidic solution with a relatively low pH that easily dissolves the protective oxide layer and makes corrosion take place faster and sooner, and the presence of small and ample carbon dioxide gas bubbles dissolved in Coca-Cola® and their adhesion to different bracket surfaces and very small but abundant pointed corrosions formed on them simultaneously. These corrosion sites developed gradually and adjointed, causing a large destruction in a limited time.

Parentie et al. assessed the effect of Coca-Cola® and Gatorade® on the physical and chemical properties of 0.019×0.025-inch heat-activated NiTi orthodontic archwire. The wires were soaked in 10 mL of the drink for 60 minutes. They found statistically non-significant differences in terms of the Young’s modulus, hardness, surface color change, topography, or chemical composition. They deduced that degradation of NiTi wires would not be caused by consumption of soft drinks.

Abalos et al. evaluated the effect of soft drinks on the surface topography and corrosion behaviors of 0.016×0.022-inch NiTi archwires. Different surface patterns of NiTi archwires, such as smooth, scratch, dimple, and crack, were selected and characterized using SEM and laser confocal microscopy. The archwires were immersed in a soft drink with a pH of 2.5 for a period corresponding 28 days, and the results were compared to archwires immersed in artificial saliva with a pH of 6.7. They found an increase in surface defects and/or roughness with reduction in corrosion resistance in the dimple, crack, and scratch patterns. Moreover, the surface pattern had a direct correlation with the extent of corrosion with low-pH soft drinks.

Mikulewicz et al. assessed the ions released (using multi-element inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry) from a fixed orthodontic appliance after altered immersion in Coca-Cola® (5.5 h) and artificial saliva (18.5 h) using a continuous flow system specially designed for their in vitro study. The appliance included 20 brackets, 4 molar bands, and two 0.017×0.025-inch archwires, all manufactured from stainless steel. The duration of the experiment was 28 days. Compared with artificial saliva, Coca-Cola® intensified the release of Fe ions (18 times), Mn ions (47 times), Mo ions (15 times), and Cd ions (5.6 times). However, the released Cr and Cu ions were lower than those released from artificial saliva. Moreover, the Coca-Cola® environment facilitated solubilization of Ni ions from the...
stainless-steel alloys. All the released ion levels were below the daily recommended doses. Orthodontic appliances present in the oral cavity undergo rapid dissolution of the surface oxide layer of the metal when exposed to the aggressive action of low-pH acidic food and drink because of thermodynamic instability and release of Fe, Zn, Ag, Ni, and Cr ions until equilibrium is reached or impedance occurs. This also results in surface dissolution of ceramic materials, pitting corrosion, and surface roughness. Released cytotoxic elements can produce discoloration in the adjacent soft tissues and allergic reactions in susceptible patients.29

Nanjundan and Vimala36 studied the in vitro effect of Pepsi-Cola® on the frictional resistance and surface characteristics of 0.019×0.025-inch stainless-steel archwires ligated to stainless-steel and polycrystalline orthodontic brackets. The archwire-bracket assemblies were immersed in Pepsi-Cola® for 24 hours and then washed, dried, and tested. The results revealed a significant increase in the surface roughness of the archwires and brackets with different degrees of pitting and surface irregularities, especially with polycrystalline brackets. Moreover, the static and kinetic frictional forces were significantly affected by Pepsi-Cola®. Brackets and archwires immersed in a highly acidic Pepsi-Cola® with a pH of 2.46 showed more surface irregularities, pitting, breakdown, debris, and roughness and had the highest static and kinetic frictional forces. This is owing to the acidic ingredients that promote corrosion and breakdown. As acidity increases, the tendency toward breakdown and surface roughness of orthodontic appliances increases as well.31

Coca-Cola® contains very high levels of sugar with a low pH (2.465). The cathodic reaction of corrosion can be intensified by the low pH. The suggested mechanism entails the formation of a passive layer of oxides at first and then its dissolution by the action of protons in acidic pH, resulting in the release of metal ions.32

Effects on the Color Stability of Clear Retainers, Esthetic Brackets, and Elastics

Lew33 determined the in vitro susceptibility of clear elastomeric modules to staining from Coca-Cola® at 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hours using a visual analog scale (VAS). He found that Coca-Cola® produced no staining even after 72 hours.

Al-Huwaizi and Kalhan34 evaluated the effect of Coca-Cola® and Miranda® on the stainability of clear overlay retainers made from 3 types of thermoplastic materials (Duran, Clear, and Comfort) using a computerized spectrophotometer. They found that Coca-Cola® had a greater staining effect than Miranda® for all types of materials, with Comfort being the least affected and Duran being the most affected.

Ardesha and Vaidyanathan35 assessed the color stability of colored and clear orthodontic elastomeric modules from different manufacturers soaked in Coca-Cola® for 72 hours using a Minolta chromometer. They found that Cola-Cola® caused minimal color change.

Silva et al.36 appraised the color stability of 5 different esthetic orthodontic elastic ligatures immersed in Coca-Cola® using an ultraviolet (UV)-visible spectrophotometer. They found that Coca-Cola® caused some color change in the esthetic elastic ligatures with significant differences among the different brands.

Aldrees et al.37 evaluated the amount of discoloration in clear and semi-clear elastomeric chains from 8 manufacturers using a spectrophotometer after immersion in Pepsi-Cola® for 72 hours. They found that semi-clear elastic chains tend to present significantly less discoloration than their clear counterparts.

Guignone et al.38 assessed the color stability of 5 types of ceramic brackets after immersion in Coca-Cola® for 1, 3, 7, and 14 days using a UV-visible spectrophotometer. They found that despite presenting the lowest pH level, Coca-Cola® did not cause as much color alteration. They attributed the minimal effect of Coca-Cola® to the lack of yellow pigment in its composition.

Albo Hassan and Ghaib39 used a UV-visible spectrophotometer to compare the stainability of sapphire ceramic brackets bonded with 3 types of light-cured orthodontic adhesives immersed in Pepsi-Cola® for 1, 7, and 14 days. They found that the brackets and adhesives underwent discoloration from Pepsi-Cola® that increased gradually until day 14. They found that the brand, immersing solution, and storage time might influence the degree of color change in the materials. The amount of fillers present and the resin matrix of the studied adhesives played important roles in the color stability and water sorption rate of the composites. It has been shown that Pepsi-Cola® contains approximately 32-39 mg of caffeine (which is less than that in tea) as well as carbonated water (soda), phosphoric acid, and citric acid that may have some cleaning action.

Talic and Almudhi40 compared the stain resistance of 3 types of clear elastomeric modules exposed to Coca-Cola® for 72 hours through assessment by a group of dentists’ perceptions of discoloration using a VAS. The study showed that Coca-Cola® caused staining in the tested modules, unlike reported previously that Coca-Cola® did not produce any staining. The difference between this study and previous studies was that the previous studies examined elastomeric modules from one company, whereas this study tested elastomeric modules from 3 different companies.

Noori and Ghaib41 assessed the color stability of different types of esthetic archwires from 4 different companies after immersion in Pepsi-Cola® for 7, 14, and 21 days using a spectrophotometer. They found that Pepsi-Cola® had a weaker staining effect on the esthetic archwires. This might be related to the chemical and physical compositions of the esthetic arch wires, and the need for further study was suggested to investigate each company’s products to identify the cause of this variation.

Mahmood42 evaluated the degree of color change of epoxy-coated 0.019×0.025-inch stainless-steel archwires from different companies immersed in Miranda® for different time intervals using a visible spectrophotometer. She found that Miranda® had a minimal coloring effect on all the tested brands owing to the cleaning effect of its acidic contents (i.e., ascorbic and citric acids).
Effects on Elastics’ Force Decay

Nattrass et al. 43 evaluated the effect of Coca-Cola\textsuperscript{a} at different temperatures on the force delivered by the elastomeric power chain from Ortho-Care over different time intervals. They concluded that temperature, pH, and composition of Coca-Cola\textsuperscript{a} had a great effect on the force decay of the elastomeric chain than distilled water.

Teixeira et al. 44 conducted an in vitro study to assess the effect of Diet Coke\textsuperscript{a}, phosphoric acid, and citric acid (pH=2.60) on the force decay of 2 types of elastomeric power chains immersed for 15 minutes twice daily over 3 weeks. They found a significant decline in the force of both elastomeric chains in the first 24 hours with no significant difference per the immersion media.

Hemed\textsuperscript{45} evaluated the effect of Pepsi-Cola\textsuperscript{a} on the remaining force of different types of elastomeric power chains immersed once daily for 8 minutes over 4 weeks. She found that Pepsi-Cola\textsuperscript{a} decreased the remaining force over the 4-week period compared with the control group.

Leão Filho et al. 46 tested the force degradation effects of immersing 1/4 inch intermaxillary elastics in Coca-Cola\textsuperscript{a} and artificial saliva (as a control). The immersion took place in 5 cycles. In the 1st and 2nd cycles, the elastics were immersed for 15 minutes in the tested beverages and then immersed for 3 minutes in artificial saliva. In the 3rd, 4th, and 5th cycles, the immersion lasted for 30 minutes followed by 3 minutes of immersion in artificial saliva. The results indicated that Coca-Cola\textsuperscript{a} did not affect the force degradation of the tested elastics compared with the artificial saliva; hence, the chemical nature of Coca-Cola\textsuperscript{a} had no additional effect.

Teixeira et al. 44 demonstrated that immersion in Diet Coke\textsuperscript{a} was not able to alter the pattern of force degradation in 2 different types of elastomeric chains, in agreement with Leão Filho et al. 46 who found that diet beverages did not affect the force degradation of the tested elastics compared with artificial saliva; hence, the chemical nature of these beverages had no effect, unlike the findings from a study by Hemed\textsuperscript{45}. One of the drawbacks of the study by Teixeira et al. was that they did not measure the pH of the tested beverages.

Pithon et al. 47 investigated the effect of Coca-Cola\textsuperscript{a}, Fanta\textsuperscript{a}, Guarana Antarctica\textsuperscript{a}, and Sprite\textsuperscript{a} on force decay in elastomeric chains in comparison with de-ionized water and artificial saliva. They immersed the chains in the soft drinks twice daily for 3 minutes with an interval of 6 hours between the exposures for over 6 intervals. They found that the pH of acidic substances, preservatives, pigments, chlorides, and phosphates had an effect on the elastic decay in (in decreasing order) Coca-Cola\textsuperscript{a}, Fanta\textsuperscript{a}, Guarana Antarctica\textsuperscript{a}, and Sprite\textsuperscript{a} but with less influence than the artificial saliva.

Aldrees et al. 48 compared the percentage of force decay in clear and semi-clear elastomeric chains from 8 manufacturers after immersion in Pepsi-Cola\textsuperscript{a} for 72 hours. They found significant differences in the mean percentage of force decay between the clear elastomeric chain types.

Yuwanana et al. 49 evaluated the effect of Coca-Cola\textsuperscript{a}, Pepsi-Cola\textsuperscript{a}, and Big Cola\textsuperscript{a} on the tensile strength of 1/4 inch, 4.5-oz orthodontic elastic immersed in each beverage for 90 seconds per day before being immersed in artificial saliva for 24 hours and 48 hours. The results indicated that these beverages increased force decay compared with artificial saliva with no significant differences among the tested beverages.

Barreto et al. 50 assessed the tensional strength of elastomeric chains immersed twice daily for 30 seconds in Coca-Cola\textsuperscript{a} and cold water (at 5°C±1°C) compared with artificial saliva. They found that the tensional strength decreased over time and appeared to decrease more with cold water, indicating that the temperature rather than the composition of the beverage was the predominant contributing factor. This is the only study that considered the temperature of the beverages, and the exposure time was very short (30 seconds per day), as in vivo intake of water may change according to one’s needs and the climate.

Suprayugo et al. 51 investigated the effect of Coca-Cola\textsuperscript{a} on the force decay of elastomeric chains over 1, 24, 42, 72, 168, and 336 hours of immersion compared with distilled water. They found a significant reduction in the force levels with no significant effect of acidity or duration of immersion in these solutions. The drawbacks of this study were that the duration of immersion was too long and that the researchers used the same pieces of elastic over the period of study, which may have led to errors because of frequent extensions during the measuring procedures.

Sallam et al. 52 assessed the effect of some carbonated drinks (diet and regular Pepsi\textsuperscript{a}) on the force decay of 2 types of short elastomeric chains and concluded that carbonated drinks revealed an increased capability to affect the force decay of the orthodontic elastomeric chains than that shown by the salivary medium.

In summary, the type, configuration, and method of manufacturing should be taken into consideration along with the content, pH, and temperature of the carbonated soft drinks.

CONCLUSION

- Increased consumption of carbonated soft drinks causes deleterious effects on the general health.
- Tooth movement is greatly affected with the increased use of carbonated soft drinks.
- Carbonated soft drinks have a great influence on the shear bond strength and enamel surface as well as the color stability of different materials.
- There are controversies regarding the effect of carbonated soft drinks on force degradation in different orthodontic elastics.
- Release of ions from orthodontic wires and corrosion with surface changes are obvious with the increased consumption of carbonated soft drinks.
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